



Suffolk County Council (20041323)

Responses to the Applicant's draft Development Consent Order and the Applicant's response to the ExA's recommended amendments to the dDCO

Bramford to Twinstead (EN020002)

Deadline 9

23 February 2024



Table of Contents

G	Blossary of Acronyms			
Ρı	urpo	ose of this Submission	. 2	
	1 [REF	Comments on the Applicant's Schedule of Changes at Deadline 8 (February 2024) P8-022]	. 3	
	2 reco	Comments on the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's pommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP8-032]	47	

Glossary of Acronyms

DCO	Development Consent Orders
DVNLSVP	Dedham Vale National Landscape and Stour Valley Partnership
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ExA	Examining Authority
ExQ	Examining Authority's Written Questions
ISH	Issue Specific Hearing
LHA	Local Highway Authority
PROW	Public Rights of Way
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage Systems
"The Council"	" / "SCC" refers to Suffolk County Council; "The Host Authorities" refers to Suffolk County
-	ergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils, Essex County Council, and Braintree District
Council.	

Purpose of this Submission

This document includes two tables: Table 1 includes SCC's comments on the Applicant's *Schedule of Changes at Deadline 8* **[REP8-022]** and Table 2 includes SCC's comments on the Applicant's *Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO* **[REP8-032]**.

To avoid creating another table or another document, in Table 1, SCC has also referred to other examples of amendments which it considers are necessary to the provision under discussion. In Table 2, SCC comments on certain of the Applicant's responses to the ExA's recommended amendments to the dDCO. It does not comment on the Applicant's responses which have been addressed in Table 1 above and it does not comment on responses to which SCC has no comment to make.



1 Comments on the Applicant's Schedule of Changes at Deadline 8 (February 2024) [REP8-022]

Tab	e 1: SCC Tab	ole of Comments on the Ap	plicant's Schedule of Changes at Deadline 8 (February 2024) [REP8-022]	
Ref.	dDCO ref.	Rationale for the	Change Made	SCC's comment
		Change		
1.	Art.2 (interpretation)	A new definition of 'Bank Holiday' has been included in Article 2(1). The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's	<u>"Bank Holiday" means a bank holiday in England and Wales</u> under section 1 of the Banking and Financial Dealings Act 1971;	The definition is fine; however, because the term "Bank Holiday" is only used in Schedule 3 (Requirements) – specifically, in Requirements 2(1), 7(1), 7(3) and 7(4)(e) – the definition should be moved from Art.2
		recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).		(interpretation) to paragraph 1(1) (interpretation) of Schedule 3.
2.	Art.2 (interpretation)	Document reference numbers in respect of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (and its appendices) (Documents 7.5 (D), 7.5.1 (C) and 7.5.2 (E)), the Construction Traffic	 "Construction Environmental Management Plan" means the document of that description (together with its appendices) (DocumentDocuments 7.5 (D), 7.5.1 (C) and 7.5.2 (E)) certified by the Secretary of State as the Construction Environmental Management Plan for the purposes of this Order under article 57 (certification of documents); "Construction Traffic Management Plan" means the document of that description (together with its appendices) (Document 7.6 (CD)) certified 	These changes are fine, save for the name of the final listed document. The document in the Examination Library is the "Public <u>Right</u> of Way Management Plan" and not the "Public Right <u>s</u> of Way Management Plan".



		Management Plan (Document 7.6 (D)), the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (and its appendices) (Document 7.8 (C), 7.8.1 (B), 7.8.2 (C), 7.8.3 (B)), and the Public Rights of Way Management Plan (Document 8.5.8 (B)) have been updated in order to correlate with submissions made at Deadline 8, and to address matters raised in the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003].	by the Secretary of State as the Construction Traffic Management Plan for the purposes of this Order under article 57 (certification of documents); "Landscape and Ecological Management Plan" means the document of that description (together with its appendices) (Document Documents 7.8 (C), 7.8.1 (B), 7.8.2 (C) and 7.8.3 (B)) certified by the Secretary of State as the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan for the purposes of this Order under article 57 (certification of documents); "Public Rights of Way Management Plan" means the document of that description (together with its appendices) (Document 8.5.8 (B)) certified by the Secretary of State as the Public Rights of Way Management Plan for the purposes of this Order under 57 (certification of documents);	
3.	Art.2 (interpretation)	The definition of 'Environmental Statement' has been amended to include reference to the Errata List (Document 8.4.3 (B)). The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.1 of the Applicant's	"Environmental Statement" means the environmental statement (Documents 6.1 to 6.4 (inclusive)) together with any supplemental or additional environmental information certified under article 57 (certification of documents), and any environmental statement submitted for the purposes of complying with and/or discharging the Requirements, <u>and any entries in the final version of the Errata List</u> (Document 8.4.3 (B)) that relate to any of these documents;	There is no definition of "Errata List" in article 2(1) (interpretation) and SCC considers one is needed.



		Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).		
4.	Art.2 (interpretation)	Article 2(5) has been deleted. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.1 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	(5) References in this Order to points identified by letters or numbers are to be construed as references to points so lettered or numbered on the Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plans.	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to the deletion of this provision.
5.	Art.5 (limits of deviation)	Article 5(1)(a) has been amended. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.2 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended	deviate <u>laterally</u> from the <u>lines or situations</u> - <u>centreline for the linear</u> <u>works</u> of the authorised development shown on the Work Plans within the limits of deviation relating to a Work shown on those plans and carry out construction activities for the purpose of the authorised development anywhere within the Order limits; and	SCC considers the Applicant's change to be fine.



		amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).		
6.	Art.5 (limits of deviation)	Article 5(3) has been amended. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.2 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	(3) Subject to paragraph (4), in respect of other permanent above ground structures, erections and apparatus, including substations-the <u>Grid Supply Point Substation, Bramford Substation</u> and cable sealing end compounds forming part of the authorised development:	SCC considers the Applicant's change to be fine.
7.	Art.13 (application of the 1991 Act)	Reference to Section 77 of the 1991 Act has been removed from Article 13(3 The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.3 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the	 (3) The following provisions of the 1991 Act do not apply in relation to any works executed under the powers of this Order— (a) section 56 (power to give directions as to timing of street works); (b) section 56A (power to give directions as to placing of apparatus); (c) section 58 (restrictions on works following substantial road works); (d) section 58A (restriction on works following substantial street works); (e) section 73A (power to require undertaker to re-surface street); (f) section 73B (power to specify timing etc. of re-surfacing); (g) section 73C (materials, workmanship and standard of re-surfacing); (h) (i) section 78A (contributions to costs of re-surfacing by undertaker); and 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045] , SCC agrees to the deletion of this provision.



		Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	(i) (j) Schedule 3A (restriction on works following substantial street works).	
8.	Art.15 (temporary stopping up of streets and public rights of way)	Article 15(2) has been amended to make clear that the exercise of powers pursuant to Article 15(2) is subject to the street authority's consent, provided that such consent is not unreasonably withheld or delayed. Consequential amendments have also been made to Articles 15(10) and 15(11). This amendment responds to submissions made by Suffolk County Council in respect of ExQ2 DC2.6.5 and in respect of which the Applicant has provided a further detailed response in Document 8.10.3 .	 (2) Without limitation on the scope of paragraph (1), the undertaker may, with the consent of the street authority (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed), use as a temporary working site any street or public right of way which has been temporarily stopped up, altered or diverted under the powers conferred by this article. (10) Any application for consent under sub-paragraph (2) or (5) (b) must include a statement that the provisions of paragraph (9) apply to that application. (11) If an application for consent under sub-paragraph (2) or (5)(b) does not include the statement required under paragraph (10), then the provisions of paragraph (9) will not apply to that application. 	SCC considers the words "with the consent of the street authority" should be included in article 15(2); however, the words "(such consent not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed)" should be omitted. As stated in previous representations, in several provisions, SCC is under a requirement to approve various documents, and provision is made to say that approval must not be unreasonably withheld or delayed and there is also a provision that it is deemed to be given after 28 days. In several cases this appears to be unprecedented in DCOs or not well precedented. For instance, the words are also included in sub- paragraph (5)(b) of article 15; however, they do not



		appear in the equivalent
		provisions of the previous
		National Grid DCOs cited
		by the applicant as
		precedents in section 3.19
		of the Explanatory
		Memorandum [REP8-006]
		i.e. Network Rail (Ipswich
		Chord) Order 2012;
		National Grid (King's Lynn
		B Power Station
		Connection) Order 2013 or
		National Grid (Hinkley
		Point C Connection
		Project) Order 2016.
		No justification is provided
		for the inclusion of both
		"unreasonably withheld or
		delayed" and a very short
		deeming provision.
		SCC will be receiving
		considerable numbers of
		requests for approval and
		will of course ensure that
		they are dealt with as
		quickly as possible. With
		the deeming provisions
		included there is no need
		to say that the approvals
		must not be unreasonably
		withheld or delayed, and in
		some cases the deeming



		provisions are
		unprecedented and
		unnecessary. Moreover, by
		section 161(1)(b) (breach
		of terms of order granting
		development consent) of
		the Planning Act 2008, it is
		an offence for a person to
		fail to comply with the
		terms of a DCO. SCC
		considers it excessive for it
		to potentially face criminal
		liability in these
		circumstances.
		SCC considers art.15(2)
		should state –
		"(2) Without limitation on
		the scope of paragraph (1),
		the undertaker may, <u>with</u> the consent of the street
		authority, use as a
		temporary working site
		any street or public right of
		way which has been
		temporarily stopped up,
		altered or diverted under
		the powers conferred by
		this article".
		For the reasons set out
		above, as well as being



				omitted from article 15(2) and 15(5)(b), the words "unreasonably withheld or delayed" should be omitted from the following articles:
				11(2) (street works); 14(4) (power to alter layout, etc. of streets); 16(1)(b) (access to works); 19(3) and 19(4)(a) (discharge of water); 21(5) (authority to survey and investigate the land); 47(2) (traffic regulation); and 52(1) (procedure regarding certain approvals etc.).
9.	Art.17 (construction, alteration and maintenance of streets)	Articles 17(1) and 17(2) have been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.3 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 17.—(1) Any street (other than any private streets) to be constructed under this Order must be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the street authority and must, unless otherwise agreed with the street authority, be maintained (including any culverts or other structures laid under that part of the highway) by <u>and at the expense of the undertaker for a period of 12 months from its completion and, at the expiry of that period, by and at the expense of the street authority.</u> (2) Where a street is altered or diverted under this Order, the altered or diverted part of the street must be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the street authority and must, unless otherwise agreed with the street authority, be maintained (including any culverts or other structures laid under that part of the highway) by <u>and at the expense of</u> street authority. 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045] , SCC agrees to these changes.



			the undertaker for a period of 12 months from its completion and, at the expiry of that period, by and at the expense of the street authority.	
10.	Art.46 (defence in proceedings in respect of statutory nuisance)	Articles 46(1)(a)(ii), 46(1)(b) and 46(2) have been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.6 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 (ii) relates to premises used by the undertaker for the purposes of or in connection with the construction, maintenance or operation of the authorised development and that the nuisance is attributable to the carrying out of the authorised development in accordance with the construction Environmental Management Plan or in accordance with the noise levels set out in an environmental permit relating to the construction, maintenance or operation of the authorised development; or (b) the defendant shows that the nuisance — (i) relates to premises used by the undertaker for the purposes of or in connection with the use of the authorised development and that the nuisance is attributable to the use of the authorised development which is being used in accordance with the Construction Environmental Management Plan; or (i) (ii) is a consequence of the use of the authorised development and that it cannot reasonably be avoided. (2) For the purposes of paragraph (1) above in relation to the construction of the authorised development only, compliance with the controls and measures relating to noise described in the Construction Environmental Management Plan; or (i) (ii) so a consequence of the use of the authorised development and that it cannot reasonably be avoided. (2) For the purposes of paragraph (1) above in relation to the construction Environmental Management Plan will be sufficient, but not necessary, to show that an alleged nuisance could not reasonably be avoided. 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to these changes.
11.	Art.47 (traffic regulation)	Articles 47(1) and 47(2) have been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.6 of the Applicant's Response to the	 47.—(1) Subject to the provisions of this article, the undertaker may at any time for the purposes of construction or maintenance of the authorised development or for purposes ancillary to the construction or maintenance of the authorised development— (2) Without limiting the scope of the specific powers conferred by paragraph (1) but subject to the provisions of this article and the 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to these changes.



	Schedule of the	consent of the traffic authority in whose area the road concerned is	In addition, and as
	Examining Authority's	situated, which consent must not be unreasonably withheld or delayed,	mentioned in previous
	recommended	the undertaker may, in so far as may be expedient or necessary for the	submissions (e.g. Post-
	amendments to the	purposes of or in connection with construction or maintenance of the	hearing Submission for
	Applicant's Draft DCO	authorised development, or for purposes ancillary to it, at any time—	ISH2 on the DCO and
	[REP6-003] (Document		related matters [REP4-
	8.10.2).		043]) SCC considers the
			powers in paragraph (1)
			should be subject to SCC's
			consent and so should be
			amended as follows –
			"Subject to the provisions
			of this article, and the
			consent of the traffic
			authority in whose area
			the road is situated, the
			undertaker may at any
			time for the purposes of
			construction or
			maintenance of the
			authorised development
			or for purposes ancillary to
			the construction or
			maintenance of the
			authorised development—
			"
			The precedent cited in
			paragraph 3.51.2 of the
			Explanatory Memorandum
			[REP8-007], (article 40 of
			the National Grid (Hinkley



	Point C Connection
	Project) Order 2016
	(S.I.2016/49)), includes th
	bold and underlined
	words, as does the
	Network Rail (Norton
	Bridge Area
	Improvements) Order
	2014 (S.I.2014/909; see
	article 38), which is cited i
	a footnote to paragraph
	3.51.2. (The words are
	included in the
	corresponding provisions
	of other DCOs which are
	not cited in the
	Explanatory
	Memorandum).
	SCC requested that the
	same amendment be
	made to the final draft
	version of the Sizewell C
	(Nuclear Generating
	Station) Order 2022
	(S.I.2022/853)) and,
	following the Examining
	Authority's
	recommendation to
	include the words, they
	were included in the Orde
	made by the Secretary of
	State. SCC considers the



				same approach should be followed here.
12.	Art.47 (traffic regulation)	A new Article 47(6) has been included. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.6 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	(6) Any prohibition, restriction or other provision made by the undertaker under paragraph (1) or (2) will cease to have effect on the expiry of the period of five years beginning with the date on which the authorised development is first brought into operational use, except where the authorised development is replacement or landscape planting in which case the period of five years shall begin with the date on which that part of the replacement or landscape planting is completed.	SCC notes the justification in the applicant's <i>Response</i> <i>to the Schedule of the</i> <i>Examining Authority's</i> <i>recommended</i> <i>amendments to the</i> <i>Applicant's Draft DCO</i> [REP8-032] for the inclusion of paragraph (6). It is not clear, however, why the period of five years has been chosen. It is also not clear how the applicant will inform the traffic authority of any expiration mentioned in paragraph (6) and how much notice will be given. Finally, paragraph (6) needs to provide for the undertaker providing, at its own expense, any replacement signage or other steps required by the traffic authority in advance of any expiration.



13.	Art.48 (felling or	Article 48(8) has been	(8) The consent of the relevant highway authority is not required under	As stated in its Response
	lopping)	amended to refer to the	paragraph (4) where the tree to be felled, lopped, pruned, cut, trimmed,	to the ExA's Schedule of
		Trees and Hedgerows to	coppiced, pollarded, or reduced in height or width is described or shown	Changes to the draft DCO
		be Removed or	in the Landscape and Ecological Management Planas 'affected	[REP8-045], SCC agrees to
		Managed Plans.	vegetation' on the Trees and Hedgerows to be Removed or Managed	this change.
		The purpose and effect	Plans.	-
		of this amendment is		Again, as stated in [REP8-
		explained in Table 2.6 of		045], to avoid any
		the Applicant's		confusion, SCC considers it
		Response to the		would be helpful if the
		Schedule of the		undertaker notifies the
		Examining Authority's		highway authority before it
		recommended		fells, lops etc. in
		amendments to the		circumstances when the
		Applicant's Draft DCO		consent of the highway
		[REP6-003] (Document		authority is not required
		8.10.2).		and so article 48(8) should
				be further amended to
				state –
				"(8) The consent of the
				relevant highway authority
				is not required under
				paragraph (4) where –
				(1) the tree to be
				felled, lopped,
				pruned, cut,
				trimmed,
				coppiced,
				pollarded, or
				reduced in height
				or width is
				described or



		shown in as
		'affected
		vegetation' on the
		Landscape and
		Ecological
		Management Plan
		Trees and
		Hedgerows to be
		Removed or
		Managed Plans
		and (2) the substation
		(2) the undertaker
		has notified the
		<u>relevant highway</u>
		authority of its
		intention to carry
		out any of the
		operations
		described in
		<u>subparagraph</u>
		<u>(a</u>)".
		In addition, and as
		mentioned in previous
		submissions (e.g. Post-
		hearing Submission for
		ISH2 on the DCO and
		related matters [REP4-
		submissions (e.g. Post- hearing Submission for



				(as these words are too vague).
14.	Art.53 (safeguarding)	A new Article 53(7) has been included and the (renumbered) Article 53(8) has been further amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.6 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 (7) The requirement to consult will cease to have effect upon completion of the decommissioning of the authorised development or the final part of it. (8) (6) In this article— "exempt applications" means— (i) an application for planning permission which relates to development that— (aa) (i) consists of an alteration to an existing building, or the change of use of an existing building or land; and (bb) (ii) does not involve, or is not likely to involve, any construction engineering or other operations below existing ground level; and, (ii) an application for planning permission which is to be determined by a relevant planning authority in the period of 21 days beginning on the day after the date on which the Order comes into force; and 	SCC considers the applicant's proposed drafting in article 53(7) is unclear and would be grateful if the applicant could explain the difference between (i) completion of the decommissioning of the authorised development and (ii) completion of the decommissioning of the final part of the authorised development. Once SCC receives the explanation, it should be able to offer its final view on article 53(7). Subject to receiving that explanation, SCC considers the drafting proposed by the ExA in its Schedule of recommended amendments to the Applicant's draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP6-003] to be preferable, because it is clearer.



	Regarding article 53(8)(ii), SCC considers the
	applicant's proposed
	drafting is fine.
	CCC notes the emplicant
	SCC notes the applicant
	has not included the ExA's
	suggested amendments to
	article 53(5), notes (in the
	applicant's Response to the
	Schedule of the Examining
	Authority's recommended
	amendments to the
	Applicant's Draft DCO
	[REP8-032]) the applicant's
	justification for this, and
	further notes that, despite
	its justification, notes the
	applicant would "be
	content to accept an
	amendment to Article
	53(5) in the form proposed
	if the Examining Authority
	was indeed minded to
	make such a change". If
	article 53 is to be retained,
	SCC considers the ExA's
	amendment (i.e. the
	deletion of the words "and
	ensure that the matters
	raised in any such
	representation are
	addressed") should be



		made because the additional and unprecedented wording would constitute an unjustified interference with the discretion Parliament has entrusted to local authorities.
		SCC therefore considers article 53(5) should state –
		"In determining an application for planning permission, a relevant planning authority must take into account any representations received in accordance with this article and ensure that the matters raised in any such representation are addressed".
		In any event, SCC maintains its concerns with this article (as set out in its post-hearing submissions for ISH2 [REP4-043] and ISH5 [REP6-056]) and considers it ought to be removed from the dDCO.



15.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 1)	A new definition of "intrusive" has been included in Requirement 1. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	<u>"intrusive" means an activity which requires or is facilitated by</u> <u>breaking the surface of the ground;</u>	SCC considers the inclusion of the new definition is fine and notes its inclusion in the following DCOs: East Anglia ONE North Offshore Wind Farm Order 2022 and East Anglia TWO Offshore Wind Farm Order 2022.
16.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 1)	A new definition of "reinstatement planting" has been included in Requirement 1. This change responds to feedback received from the host authorities and other environmental stakeholders as to the scope of 'reinstatement planting' pursuant to Requirements 9 and 10. Given the late stage of the Examination, it has been agreed that	"reinstatement planting" includes, unless otherwise agreed with the relevant planning authority, embedded planting, reinstatement planting and mitigation planting as each are described in the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan;	SCC notes the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [REP7- 006] refers to "replacement hedgerow planting" and would be grateful if the applicant could explain why that term is not included in the definition of "reinstatement planting".



		clarification of this nature is appropriate and avoids cross- referencing and other similar issues which would arise if Requirements 9 and 10 were themselves renamed.		
17.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 3)	A new sub-paragraph (1) has been included, with consequential amendments also made to the (renumbered) sub-paragraph (5). The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 (1) Unless otherwise agreed with the relevant planning authority, written notice setting out the anticipated programme for the carrying out of pre-commencement operations must be given to the relevant planning authority no less than 7 days prior to the date on which those pre-commencement operations are first carried out. (2) 3ccc) The authorised development may not commence until a written scheme setting out all stages of the authorised development has been submitted to the relevant planning authority. (3) (1) Any revisions to the written scheme referred to in sub-paragraph (12) above must be submitted to the relevant planning authority in advance of the commencement of the stage of the authorised development to which the revisions relate. (4) (2) Written notice of the commencement and completion of construction of each stage of the authorised development, must be given to the relevant planning authority within 10 business days of the relevant event occurring. (5) (3) The authorised development must be carried out in accordance with the written scheme submitted further to sub-paragraph (1). 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC considers written notice should also be given to the relevant highway authority, which is also responsible for certain pre- commencement operations (for instance, per Requirement 11(3), all pre-commencement operations involving the construction or alteration of temporary accesses). On reflection, SCC also considers a longer notice period would be helpful and would suggest a period of "no less than 28 days".



				SCC would therefore suggest that paragraph (1) is amended as follows –
				"Unless otherwise agreed with the relevant planning authority and the relevant highway authority, written notice setting out the anticipated programme for the carrying out of pre- commencement operations must be given to the relevant planning authority and the relevant highway authority no less than 7 28 days prior to the date on which those pre- commencement operations are first carried out".
18.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 4)	Requirement 4 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's	 (2) The plans referred to in sub-paragraph (1) above comprise the following— (a) Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP); (b) Materials and Waste Management Plan (MWMP); (c) Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP); (d) Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP); and (e) Public Rights of Way Management Plan (PROWMP). 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to these changes.



		recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).		
19.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 5)	Requirement 5 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 5. —(1) No stage of the authorised development may be brought into operational use until, for that stage, a Drainage Management Plan (DMP), to address operational surface water management matters, has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. (2) The operational use of each stage of the authorised development must be carried out in accordance with the approved Drainage Management Plan (DMP) referred to in sub-paragraph (1) or with any amended Drainage Management Plan (DMP) that may subsequently be approved by the relevant planning authority, after consultation with the relevant highway authority. 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC disagrees with the new text. The discharging authority should be the "lead local flood authority" (who should consult the "relevant planning authority" because the approval of the drainage management plan is a function of the lead local flood authority. SCC's position is supported by Mid-Suffolk and Babergh District Councils within its administrative areas and by Essex County Council and (we understand) Braintree District Council.



	In the light of the above, SCC considers Requirement 5(1) and (2) should be amended as follows –
	"5. —(1) No stage of the authorised development may be brought into operational use until, for that stage, a Drainage Management Plan (DMP) ,
	to address operational surface water management matters, has been submitted to and approved by the <u>lead local</u>
	flood authority, after consultation with the relevant highway planning authority.(2) The operational use of
	each stage of the authorised development must be carried out in accordance with the approved Drainage
	Management Plan (DMP) referred to in sub- paragraph (1) or with any amended Drainage Management Plan (DMP) that may subsequently be



				approved by the <u>lead local</u> <u>flood authority, after</u> <u>consultation with the</u> <u>relevant</u> highway planning authority".
20.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 6)	Requirement 6 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 6. —(1) The authorised development must be undertaken in accordance with the Archaeological Framework Strategy and the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (OWSI). (2) No stage of the authorised development may commence until a Detailed Written Scheme of Investigation of areas of archaeological interest relevant to that stage (if any) as identified within the OWSI Outline Written Scheme of Investigation or identified through evaluation work as set out in the OWSI-Outline Written Scheme of Investigation has been submitted to and approved by the County Archaeologist. (3) Any detailed archaeological works must be carried out in accordance with the approved Detailed Written Scheme of Investigation for that stage. (4) The Detailed Written Scheme of Investigation must be in accordance with the OWSI Outline Written Scheme of Investigation and must identify areas where archaeological works are required and the measures to be taken to protect, record or preserve any significant archaeological remains that may be found and must include an implementation timetable. 	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to these changes. As stated in [REP8-045], SCC maintains its position in respect of the drafting of this requirement, as set out in paragraph 8.45 to 8.52 [REP1-045], DC1.6.105 [REP3-078], Table Item 1 [REP5-033].
21.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 7)	Requirement 7 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the	 7(1) Subject to sub-paragraphs (2) to (45), work may only take place between 0700 and 1900 Monday to Friday and between 0800 and 1700 on Saturdays, Sundays and Bank Holidays (the core working hours), unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority. (2) No piling operations may take place between 19.00 and 07.00. (3) (1) No percussive piling operations may take place on Sundays and Bank Holidays. 	Regarding the applicant's proposed amendments, SCC would comment as follows – Paragraph (2) – the prohibition against piling



Schedule of t			operations between 1900
Examining Au	•		and 0700 should apply to
recommende		enchless crossing operations including beneath highways, railway	Monday and Friday and
amendments	,	woodlands or watercourses;	should apply all day
Applicant's D		e installation and removal of conductors, pilot wires and	Saturday, Sunday and on
[REP6-003] (Document associ	lated protective netting across nighways, railway lines or	<i>I</i> . <i>I</i>
8.10.2).			Bank Holidays.
			Paragraph (4)(d) – this
			amendment is fine.
		e completion continuation of operations commenced during the	Paragraph (4)(j) – this
		working hours which cannot to a point where they can safely be	amendment is fine.
		ed <u>paused</u> ; y highway works requested by the highway authority to be	Paragraph (4)(k) – this
	• •	y nighway works requested by the nighway authority to be	amendment is fine, though
		taken on a saturaty, sanday of a sank honday of satisfice the core	SCC does not consider the
			words "or following a
		a completion of works delayed or hold up by sovere weather	request made by any third
		tions which disrupted or interrupted normal construction	party" is justified and
	activit		should be omitted.
		tivity necessary in the instance of an emergency where there is a	
		persons or property;	In addition, SCC considers
		curity monitoring; and	the following amendments
		n-intrusive surveys.; and	should be made to
		trusive surveys, in the instance of an emergency where there is a	paragraphs (1) and (3) and
		persons or property or following a request made by any third	that new paragraphs (5)
	party		(7) and (8) should be
		The core working hours referred to in sub-paragraph (1) exclude	included. Paragraphs (1),
		up and close down activities up to 1 hour either side of the core	(3), (5) and (8) should be
		ng hours.	included for the reasons
			set out in SCC's post-
			hearing submission ISH5
			[REP6-056]) and paragraph



		(7) for the reason set out
		in the ExA's Schedule of
		recommended
		amendments to the
		Applicant's draft
		Development Consent
		<i>Order</i> (dDCO) [REP6-003] .
		"(1) Subject to sub-
		paragraphs (2) to (5), work
		(<u>which includes any pre-</u>
		<u>commencement</u>
		operation) may only take
		place between 0700 and
		1900 Monday to Friday and
		between 0800 and 1700 on
		Saturdays, Sundays and
		Bank Holidays (the core
		working hours), unless
		otherwise approved by the
		relevant planning
		authority.
		autony.
		(2) No piling operations
		may take place between
		19.00 and 07.00- on
		Monday to Friday or on
		Saturdays afternoons,
		Sundays or Bank Holidays.
		(3) No percussive piling
		operations may take place
L		



		on Sundays and Bank
		Holidays <u>and no lorry</u>
		deliveries may be made to
		site on Saturday
		afternoons, Sundays or
		<u>Bank Holidays</u> .
		(4) The following
		operations may take place
		outside the core working
		hours referred to in
		subparagraph (1)—
		(a) trenchless
		crossing
		operations
		including beneath
		highways, railway
		lines, woodlands
		or watercourses;
		(b) the installation
		and removal of
		conductors, pilot
		wires and
		associated
		protective netting
		across highways,
		railway lines or
		watercourses;
		(c) the jointing of
		underground cables (save



		the ground	cutting d cables);	
		(d) cont oper com durit	inuation rations menced ng the	the of core
			king hours it where safely sed;	they
		worl by auth unde Satu or a or o	any hig ks requ the hig ority to ertaken o rday, Su Bank Ho utside the king hours	ested shway o be on a unday oliday e core
		com any insta the	the testir missionin electrical alled as pa autho elopment;	ng of plant art of prised
		of v	he compl works de held up	layed

		severe weather conditions which disrupted or interrupted normal construction activities;
		 (h) activity necessary in the instance of an emergency where there is a risk to persons or property;
		(i) security monitoring;
		(j) non-intrusive surveys; and
		(k) intrusive surveys, in the instance of an emergency where there is a risk to persons or property or following a request made by any third party.

		(5) Where any work has
		been delayed or held up by
		severe weather conditions
		which disrupted or
		interrupted normal
		construction activities in
		accordance with sub-
		paragraph (3)(g) the
		undertaker must, as soon
		as practicable, notify the
		local planning authority of
		the disruption or
		interruption and explain
		why that work could not
		be completed within the
		core working hours
		<u>referred to in sub-</u>
		paragraph (1).
		(<mark>5</mark> 6) The core working
		hours referred to in sub-
		paragraph (1) exclude start
		up and close down
1		activities up to 1 hour
1		either side of the core
1		working hours.
		(7) No construction
1		activity may take place
1		<u>between 19.00 and 07.00,</u>
		or on any Sunday or Bank
		Holiday at:



	4.
• F-AP	
• Pyloi	n PCB 64;
• Pylor	n 4Y004A;
• Pylor	n RB4;
• Pylor	
• Pylor	n RB33;
• Pylor	n RB25; and
• Pylor	n 4YLA002,
<u>as sho</u>	wn on Figure 4.1 in
the the	Environmental
Statem	nent Figures
(docum	nent reference
<u>6.4(B)</u>	<u>.</u>
<u>(8) In</u>	this Requirement,
<u>"sever</u>	e weather
<u>condit</u>	ions" means any
weath	er which prevents
work	from taking place
during	the core working
hours	referred to in sub-
paragr	aph (1) by reason of
physica	
(wheth	ner for reasons of
visibili	ty, ground
condit	ions, power
availat	oility, site access or
otherw	
contra	ry to safe working
practic	



22.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 8)	Sub-paragraph (3) of Requirement 8 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	(3) The plan submitted under sub-paragraph (1) must be in general accordance with the LEMP Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and the Trees and Hedgerows to be Removed or Managed Plans.	SCC considers this amendment is fine; however, as stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC considers sub-paragraph (2) should be amended to require the plan submitted under sub-paragraph (1) to include more detail, as follows – "The plan submitted under sub-paragraph (1) must include details of the location, species and <u>condition</u> of the trees, groups of trees, woodlands and hedgerows to be removed <u>and retained</u> during that stage of the
				removed and retained during that stage of the authorised development".
23.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 9)	Sub-paragraph (4) of Requirement 9 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the	(4) The reinstatement planting plan submitted under sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) must be in general accordance with the LEMP Landscape and Ecological Management Plan.	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045] , SCC agreed with the ExA's recommended amendments for the reasons given by the ExA.



		Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).		SCC considers this provision should state – "(4) The reinstatement planting plan submitted under sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) must be in general accordance with the LEMP Landscape and Ecological Management Plan".
24.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 10)	Requirement 10 has been amended. The purpose and effect of the amendment to sub-paragraph (3) is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2). The amendment to sub- paragraph (4) responds to feedback received from the host authorities.	 (3) All reinstatement planting works referred to in Requirement 9 must be implemented, monitored and maintained in accordance with the 'Aftercare' section of the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan. (4) (2) Any trees or hedgerows planted as part of an approved reinstatement planting plan that, within a period of 5 years after planting (or such other period as is specified in the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan), are removed, die or become in the opinion of the relevant planning authority seriously damaged or diseased, must be replaced in the first available planting season with a specimen of the same species and size as that originally planted, unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority. 	SCC requests that the applicant explains why sub-paragraph (3) does not also refer to the 'Landscape and Ecological Reinstatement and Mitigation Planting' section of the LEMP, which sets out (according to the LEMP) "how vegetation and features will be reinstated following construction". Once SCC receives this explanation, it should be apply to reply fully to the proposed amendments to paragraph (3). In any event, and as mentioned in previous submissions, (e.g. Post-



		hearing Submission for
		ISH2 on the DCO and
		related matters [REP4-
		043]) SCC considers the
		reference to "5 years" in
		sub-paragraph (4) should
		be changed to "10 years",
		which would provide for
		greater ecological
		improvements.
		Moreover, SCC and the
		other host authorities do
		not consider that the
		current proposals for
		landscape and visual
		mitigation are adequate,
		they consider that a
		strategic landscape
		restoration scheme for
		the project is required, to
		fully mitigate and to
		compensate for the
		adverse effects on the
		landscape and the
		communities affected by
		the scheme. To avoid any
		potential delay in the
		determination of the
		applications, the Councils
		would be content that this



		could be secured by an
		additional Requirement to
		Schedule 3 of the draft
		Development Consent
		Order.
		The wording for such an
		additional Requirement
		could be:
		<u>"(1) Unless</u>
		otherwise agreed
		with the relevant
		planning
		authority, no
		stage of the
		authorised
		development may
		<u>commence until a</u>
		<u>strategic</u>
		landscape
		<u>restoration</u>
		scheme for the
		authorised
		development has
		been submitted to
		and approved by
		the relevant
		<u>planning</u>
		<u>authority.</u>
		<u>(2) The</u>
		<u>restoration</u>
		scheme submitted
		<u>under sub-</u>



		<u>paragraph (1)</u>
		<u>must include</u>
		details showing
		the locations of
		existing trees,
		woodlands,
		hedgerows,
		ponds, and other
		landscape
		features to be
		removed, and
		details of the
		Applicant's
		proposals to (a)
		mitigate and
		compensate for
		the loss of those
		features and (b)
		set out the new
		<u>structures</u>
		<u>comprised within</u>
		the authorised
		<u>development, as</u>
		well as all the
		proposed new
		planting and hard
		<u>or soft</u>
		landscaping, and
		including the
		arrangements for
		maintenance and
		aftercare.



				(3) The approved restoration scheme must be implemented in accordance with its terms."
25.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 11)	Requirement 11 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	 11.—(1) No work to construct, alter or temporarily alter any highway, including any new or existing means of access to a highway to be used by vehicular traffic, may commence until written details of design, layout and reinstatement of that means of access has those highway works have been submitted to and approved by the relevant highway authority. (2) The highway accesses works must be constructed and reinstated in accordance with the details approved under sub-paragraph (1). (3) For the avoidance of doubt, all pre-commencement operations involving the construction or alteration of temporary accesses must be carried out in accordance with sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) unless otherwise agreed with the relevant highway authority (4) Unless otherwise agreed with the relevant highway authority (4) Unless otherwise agreed with the relevant highway authority (1) and (2) unless otherwise agreed with the relevant highway authority (2) The undertaker must carry out Stage 1 and Stage 2 road safety audits of the highway works authorised by this Order in accordance with standard GG 119 Road Safety Audit (Revision 2) of the Department for Transport's Design Manual for Roads and Bridges or in accordance with any standard that supersedes that any superseding Standard and must, ; (b) agree with the relevant highway authority on a case by case basis the need for a Stage 3 and, where applicable, a Stage 4 road safety audit of any elements of the highway works authorised by this Order and, where so agreed, carry out such audit(s) in accordance with 	SCC considers these amendments are fine.



			Standard GG 119 (Revision 2) of the Department for Transport's Design Manual for Roads and Bridges or any superseding Standard; and (c) to the reasonable satisfaction of the highway authority, implement any recommendations to mitigate or remove road safety problems and defects identified in any such road safety audits arising out of the authorised development.	
26.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 12)	Sub-paragraph (1) of Requirement 12 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	12.—(1) In the event that, at some future date, the authorised development, or part of it, is to be decommissioned, a written scheme of decommissioning must be submitted for approval by the relevant planning authority <u>in consultation with the relevant highway authority</u> at least six months prior to any decommissioning works.	As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to this change.
27.	Schedule 3, Requirements (Requirement 13)	Requirement 13 has been amended. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended	13.—mmm) Unless otherwise agreed with the relevant planning authority, written evidence (in the form of the outputs of the biodiversity metric) demonstrating how at least ten per cent in biodiversity net gain is to be delivered as part of the authorised development must be submitted to the relevant planning authority no later than the date on which that part of the authorised development comprising the installation of new overhead transmission electric line and underground transmission electric line forming part of the authorised development is first brought into operational use.	SCC considers the applicant's drafting is fine.



		amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).		
28.	Schedule 4, Discharge of Requirements	Paragraph 3(1)(b) has been amended. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	(b) a fee of £116 <u>145</u> per request.	As SCC stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045] , it does not follow that a fee for the discharge of a condition under a planning permission is appropriate for the discharge of a requirement related to a nationally significant infrastructure project. The scale of work involved in the latter is invariably greater and the work itself more complex. Owing to the presence of deeming provisions in the DCO, the work must also be prioritised and carried out in a short time frame. The complexities and added pressures must be reflected in the fee. Owing to these factors, SCC considers the fee regime under paragraph (3) is insufficient. SCC considers



	the pa	aragraph (3) should
	be am	nended to allow the
	applic	ant and relevant
	autho	ority to agree a
	differe	ent fee from that set
	out in	paragraph (3). SCC
	consid	ders such agreement
	could	be included in a
	planni	ing performance
	agree	ment which catered
	for the	e post-decision stage
		e project.
		ould suggest
		raph 3 is amended as
	follow	/S —
		ubject to paragraph
		where an application
		de to a relevant
		ority for any consent,
		ment or approval
		red by a Requirement
		ding consent,
		ment or approval in
		ct of part of a
		rement), <u>or by any</u>
		provision of this
		a fee must be paid
		e undertaker to the
		ant authority as
	follow	
		ch fee as may be
	prescr	ribed (under sections



		303 and 333(2A) of the
		1990 Act for the discharge
		of conditions attached to a
		planning permission); or
		(b) a fee of £145 per
		request.
		(2) The undertaker and
		relevant authority may
		agree a different fee for
		determining any consent,
		agreement or approval
		referred to in paragraph
		<u>(1)"</u> .
		In any event, it is essential
		that the words "or by any
		other provision of this
		Order" are included in
		paragraph (1) because
		several articles include
		provision for consent,
		agreements and approval
		and there is no reasonable
		argument as to why the
		costs of determining those
		should not incur a fee,
		particularly since they are
		subject to deeming
		provisions and tight



				timeframes for
				determination.
29.	Schedules 5, 6, 7, 8 and 12	Various minor amendments have been made to Schedule 5 (Streets subject to street works), Schedule 6 (Streets subject to alteration of layout), Schedule 7 (Streets or public rights of way to be temporarily stopped up), Schedule 8 (Access to works) and Schedule 12 (Traffic regulation orders). These changes, which primarily comprise the correction of street references, respond to comments raised in Suffolk County Council's Response to the Action Points arising from ISH5 and ISH6 [REP7-032]. (NB: the 'Change Made' column provides an example of the changes made in each of the abovementioned Schedules. All other	Sufficie County Council B1070 (Beaton Street) Steer 10 - 64be - Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Neveration Plans B1508 (Buree-Road St Edmunds Hill) At access point G-AP3 a permanent belimouth will be created (as shown on Sheet 20) to enable a crease greater with sufficient is to accommodate a HGV vehicle. Comprising the installation of a new road surface, road marking, redwing and a suitable drainage system, where enquired. Suffork County Council Brick Kiln Levelill Between points SM-F-3 and SM-F 4 as shown on Sheet 15 Between points SM-F-3 and SM-F 4 as shown on Sheet 15, 16 and Plan B Memory Journal Council Access E-AP2 Distribute Road and Burstall Hill Between points TRO-AB-2 and TRO-AB-4 as shown on Sheet 2 No waiting restriction between 7:00am to 7:00pm Monday to Sunday. Speed limit to be restricted to 30mph.	SCC is still considering these amendments and will respond on or before Deadline 10.



		changes to those Schedules are of an equivalent nature and are shown in the tracked version of the draft DCO (Document 3.1 (G)) submitted at Deadline 8).		
30.	Schedule 10, Land of which only temporary possession may be taken	Minor updates have been made to Schedule 10 in order to correct certain typographical errors. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	16-67, 16-69, Temporary use for construction, mitigation, 16-74, 16-84, Work No. 4 and Work No. 8 (16-88, 16-89 maintenance, and dismanting of redundant infrastructure work No. 4 and Work No. 8 (SCC considers this deletion is fine.
31.	Schedule 14, Protective Provisions (Part 4)	These protective provisions concern Network Rail's interests and so SCC has no comment to make in respect of them.	These protective provisions concern Network Rail's interests and so SCC has no comment to make in respect of them.	These protective provisions concern Network Rail's interests and so SCC has no comment to make in respect of them.



32.	Schedule 14, Protective Provisions (Part 5)	These protective provisions concern Cadent Gas Limited's interests and so SCC has no comment to make in respect of them.	These protective provisions concern Cadent Gas Limited's interests and so SCC has no comment to make in respect of them.		These protective provisions concern Cadent Gas Limited's interests and so SCC has no comment to make in respect of them.
33.	Schedule 15, Public General Legislation	Paragraph 3 (Town and Country Planning Act 1990) has been deleted. The purpose and effect of this amendment is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP6-003] (Document 8.10.2).	Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (3) For the purposes only of section 106(1) of the 1990 Act, the undertaker is to be deemed to be a person interested in the Order land or any part of its and for the avoidance of doubt section 106(3)(a) will include any transferee under article 7 (consent to transfer benefit of Order) of this Order.		As stated in its Response to the ExA's Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [REP8-045], SCC agrees to this change.
34.	Schedule 17, Certified Documents	Schedule 17 has been updated. The purpose and effect of these amendments is explained in Table 2.7 of the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the	Construction Environmental Management Plan Construction Traffic Management Plan Materials and Waste Management Plan Landscape and Environmental Ecological Management Plan Archaeological Framework Strategy Outline Written Scheme of Investigation Public Rights of Way Management Plan	7.5 (D), 7.5.1 (C) and 7.5.2 (E) 7.6 (C D) 7.7 (B) 7.8 (C), 7.8.1 (B), 7.8.2 (C) and 7.8.3 (B) 7.10 (BC) 8.5.8 (B)	SCC considers these amendments are fine.



	Applicant's Draft DCO	Errata List	<u>8.4.3 (B)</u>	
	[REP6-003] (Document			
	8.10.2).			



2 Comments on the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining

Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP8-032]

2.1 In the following table, SCC comments on certain of the Applicant's responses to the ExA's recommended amendments to the dDCO. It does not include the Applicant's comments to responses which have been addressed in Table 1 above or to responses to which SCC has no comment to make.

	Table 2: SCC Table of Comments on the Applicant's Response to the Schedule of the Examining Authority's recommended amendments to the Applicant's Draft DCO [REP8-032]				
Ref.	dDCO ref.	ExA's suggested amendment	Applicant's response to the ExA's suggested amendment	SCC's comments on the	
				Applicant's response	
35.	Article 10(1)	Deletion of paragraph (1) –	In addition to the justification already set out at	SCC is not persuaded by the	
	(planning		Paragraph 3.14.1 of the Explanatory Memorandum	need for this provision because,	
	permission)	(1) If planning permission is issued	(Document 3.1 (F)), and whilst acknowledging that there	as the Applicant acknowledges,	
		pursuant to the 1990 Act for	is no current intent to do so, the Applicant considers it	there is no intention to do what	
		development any part of which is	necessary and appropriate to provide for a future	the provision provides for.	
		within the Order limits that is -	eventuality whereby certain elements of the authorised		
		(a) not itself a nationally	development are required to be consented through other	SCC acknowledges the	
		significant infrastructure project	means. For example, it may be the case that express	existence of precedents but	
		under the 2008 Act or part of such	planning permission is required to be sought for certain	notes these provisions are very	
		a project; and	other access or enabling works, or to facilitate future	much the exception rather than	
		(b) required to complete or enable	maintenance or other operations.	the rule for DCO drafting.	
		the construction, use or operation	Absent the inclusion of Article 10(1), there would be both		
		of any part of the development	legal and practical uncertainty as to whether a breach of		
		authorised by this Order	the Order would occur pursuant to Section 161 of the		
		Then the carrying out, use or	2008 Act if development was undertaken pursuant to		
		operation of such development	those other permissions without compliance with, for		
		pursuant to the terms of the	example, the Requirements of the Order. The flexibility		
		planning permission is not to	and certainty which Article 10(1) seeks to afford is not		
		constitute a breach of the terms of	unusual and, indeed, the 2008 Act allows for such		
		this Order.	provision to be made.		



			The Examining Authority is referred in this context to Article 8 of the (Draft) National Grid (Yorkshire Green Energy Enablement Project) Development Consent Order, Article 56 of the (Draft) A122 (Lower Thames Crossing) Development Consent Order, Article 40 of the Southampton to London Pipeline Development Consent Order 2020 and Article 7 of the A30 Chiverton to Carland Cross Development Consent Order 2020.	
36.	Article 10(2)(b) (planning permission)	Delete sub-paragraph: (2)(b) in respect of that inconsistency, no enforcement action under the 1990 Act may be taken in relation to development carried out or used pursuant to that planning permission whether inside or outside the Order limits.	Without sub-paragraph (2)(b), the Applicant considers that there is a significant risk of those other permissions being undeliverable or subject to enforcement action, particularly in light of the Supreme Court's ruling in <i>Hillside Parks Ltd v Snowdonia National Park Authority</i> 2022 UKSC [30]. The continued inclusion of sub- paragraph (2)(b) is therefore considered necessary in order to provide express confirmation to those third parties that other forms of development or use are not prevented by virtue of the existence of powers and rights under the Order.	On reflection, SCC does not object to the inclusion of this provision.
37.	Article 11(3) (street works)	(3) If a street authority that receives an application for consent under paragraph (2) fails to notify the undertaker of its decision within 28 35 days (or such other period as agreed by the street authority and the undertaker) beginning with the date on which the application was received, that authority will be deemed to have granted consent.	The Applicant recognises the practical pressures faced by the host authorities and remains committed to working closely with those authorities to ensure that they are fully aware of when applications for consent are proposed to be submitted under Article 11(2). It is likely that the submission of a staging plan pursuant to Requirement 3 will be of particular benefit in this context. In addition, the inclusion of the words "unless otherwise agreed" in Article 11(3) is intended to allow for matters, including requests made by the local authorities for further information, to be dealt with on a case-by-case basis and in line with the terms of the Framework Highways	While SCC considers 35 days is preferable to 28 days, it maintains its position, as set out in the LIR [REP1-045] , its Comments on Applicant's Comments on Relevant Representations [REP2-013] , and post-hearing submissions for ISH2 [REP4- 043] that the period is too short and should be 56 days.



	Agreement and/or any other future Planning	The same point applies to the
	Performance Agreement (as the case may be). However,	time limits included in the
	from the Applicant's perspective, it is equally important	following provisions:
	to have regard to the fact that the Applicant is itself	14(5), 15(9), 16(2), 19(9), 21(8),
	bound by, and subject to, various statutory and	47(8), 48(5), and paragraph (1)
	regulatory duties, including the requirement to maintain	of Schedule 4.
	the national electricity transmission system safely,	It will be remembered that SCC
	reliably, economically and efficiently, in accordance with	is host authority for several
	the Applicant's statutory duty under Section 9 of the	DCOs. For instance, it is
	Electricity Act 1989 to maintain 'an efficient, co-ordinated	currently discharging
	and economical' system of electricity transmission, and at	requirements, or being
	all times to adhere to the standards set out in the	consulted on the discharge of
	National Electricity Transmission System Security and	requirements arising from the
	Quality of Supply Standard (NETS SQSS). Allied to the	following DCOs: East Anglia
	immediate and pressing national need which the project	ONE North Offshore Wind Farm
	is intended to address, a period of 28 days remains	Order 2022, East Anglia TWO
	proportionate, appropriate and necessary. The Applicant	Offshore Wind Farm Order
	therefore respectfully disagrees with the Examining	2022 and Sizewell C (Nuclear
	Authority's recommended amendment to Article 11(3).	Generating Station) Order
		2022. The Secretary of State's
		decision on the Sunnica Energy
		Farm DCO is due in March 2024
		and, if consent is granted, SCC
		will be responsible for
		discharging requirements (and
		will be consulted on
		requirements being discharged
		by others) for that project as
		well.
		In addition to its ongoing work
		on the instant DCO application,
		the following DCO applications
		are also at their formative



		stages: North Falls Offshore
		Wind Farm, Five Estuaries
		Offshore Wind Farm, Sea Link,
		LionLink Multi-Purpose
		Interconnector and Nautilus
		Interconnector and will, in due
		course, require substantial
		input from SCC.
		SCC officers will be responsible
		for discharging requirements
		on several DCOs simultaneously
		for many years.
		The number of similar projects
		that SCC is dealing with (and
		will be dealing with for the
		foreseeable future) is
		unprecedented in terms of
		other host authorities in other
		parts of the country and this
		must be reflected in the orders
		themselves.
		It is essential that officers have
		enough time to carry out their
		duties properly and, owing to
		their existing experience of
		hosting DCOs, SCC considers 56
		days is a reasonable time
		frame.
		This position was accepted by
		the Secretary of State in the
		Sizewell C DCO: where there is
		a time limit for acceptance etc.
		in that DCO, it is 56 days.



		Similarly, the Sunnica applicant has included the 56-day time limit in its draft order. For consistency across projects, SCC would encourage the same time limit to be included in this Order. If, in spite of these points, the Secretary of State considers 35 days is reasonable in article 11(3) then, for consistency in the Order, the same time limit should also be included in the following provisions: 14(5), 15(9), 16(2), 19(9), 21(8), 47(8), 48(5), and paragraph (1)